EXHIBIT 39

```
1
      STATE OF ILLINOIS
                          )
                             SS:
                          )
 2
      COUNTY OF C O O K
                          )
 3
          IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
 4
              COUNTY DEPARTMENT - CRIMINAL DIVISION
 5
      THE PEOPLE OF THE
      STATE OF ILLINOIS
 6
                vs.
                               ) No. 00 CR 20601
 7
      XAVIER WALKER and
 8
      JOVANIE LONG
 9
10
                     REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS at the hearing of
11
      the above-entitled cause, had before the HONORABLE
12
      MARCUS R. SALONE on the 19th day of February 2003.
13
      APPEARANCES:
14
                HON. RICHARD A. DEVINE
                State's Attorney of Cook County
15
                BY: MS. JENNIFER COLEMAN
                     Assistant State's Attorney
16
                     Appeared on behalf of the People;
17
               MR. GREGORY WILSON
                     Appeared on behalf of the Defendant,
                     Xavier Walker;
18
19
               MS. RITA FRY
                Cook County Public Defender
20
                BY: MR. JOHN CONNIFF
                     Assistant Public Defender
21
                     Appeared on behalf of the Defendant,
                     Jovanie Long.
22
23
      JO ANN KROLICKI, CSR
      Official Court Reporter
      Illinois License No.
24
```

1	I N D E X					
2						
3	People vs. Xavier Walker and Jovanie Long					
4	Date of Hearing: 2-19-03					
5	Page Numbers: EE-	1 through	EE-95			5
6						`
7	PROCEEDINGS					
8						PAGE
9	MOTION TO SUPPRESS WITNESSES:			Q.1.		500
10	MICHAEL PIETRYLA		DX 9	CX 31	RDX	RCX
11	JOHN RIORDAN ROBERT BARTIK		51 65	63 72		•
12	JOHN RIORDAN ARGUMENT	•	76			85 .
13	FINDING					88
14	•	EXHIBITS				
15	People's 1		ID 18		REC.	
16	People's 2		54			
17	People's 3		68			
18	Defendant's 1		72			
19						
20						
21						
22					,	
23						
24						

1 notations on those forms and whether those forms 2 existed on that day. 3 THE COURT: You can call him as your 4 witness. 5 MR. CONNIFF: All right. No further 6 questions. 7 MS. COLEMAN: I have nothing further, 8 Judge, from this witness. 9 THE COURT: Step down, sir. 10 (Witness excused.) 11 MS. COLEMAN: Judge, I have one other brief witness. It's the polygraph examiner. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 (Brief pause.) 15 THE COURT: Please raise your right hand. 16 (Witness sworn.) 17 THE COURT: Please be seated. 18 WHEREUPON, 19 ROBERT BARTIK, 20 called as a witness on behalf of the People of 21 the State of Illinois, having been first duly sworn, 22 under oath was examined and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MS. COLEMAN:

- 1 Q. Officer, could you please tell the judge
- your name, your star number, and your unit of
- 3 assignment?
- 4 A. Police Officer Robert Bartik, B-a-r-t-i-k,
- 5 Star Number 3078, Chicago Police Department, Forensic
- 6 Services Division.
- 7 Q. And what do you do in the Forensic Services
- 8 Division?
- 9 A. I'm a polygraph examiner.
- 10 Q. So do you administer polygraph exams?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. I'm going to direct your attention now to
- 13 August 5th of the year 2000. Were you called to
- 14 administer a polygraph exam to a subject by the name
- 15 of Jovanie Long?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. Was there an arrangement for the detectives
- to bring Jovanie Long to Homan Square on August 5th
- of 2000 at approximately 8:00 a.m.
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. What time did you arrive at Homan Square
- that morning?
- 23 A. About 8:15.
- Q. When you arrived at Homan Square, did you

- 1 meet with anybody?
- 2 A. When I got there, Detectives Riordan and
- 3 Pietlak (sic) were already there with Mr. Long. I
- 4 escorted them into my office. I put Mr. Long into
- 5 the polygraph laboratory and met with Detective
- 6 Riordan and Pietlak in my office.
- Q. When you say, "Pietlak," is that Pietryla?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. The detective that was in the back room?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. Do you see the person that you indicated
- was Jovanie Long in the courtroom today?
- A. Um, yeah. The gentleman in the beige
- 14 Department of Corrections suit (indicating.)
- MS. COLEMAN: Judge, may the record reflect
- 16 the in-court identification of the defendant?
- 17 THE COURT: It shall.
- 18 BY MS. COLEMAN:
- 19 Q. When the defendant -- you said the
- defendant was put in one room; correct?
- A. He was placed in the polygraph laboratory.
- Q. Where did you, Detective Riordan, and
- 23 Detective Pietryla go?
- A. They were in my private office.

- 1 Q. What did you do then? 2 They apprised me of the situation, of the 3 facts of the case. 4 Q. Where -- was the defendant in the room at 5 that time? 6 Α. No, ma'am. 7 Ο. After they apprised you of the facts in the 8 case, what did you do? 9 After I got all the necessary information, Α. 10 I then entered the polygraph laboratory. I 11 introduced myself to Mr. Long as a police officer, 12 told him that I was here to administer a polygraph 13 examination to him, that the taking of a polygraph examination was a voluntary thing, that he did not 14 15 have to take it if he did not wish to. 16 I then presented him with a polygraph 17 subject consent form which I read to him verbatim 18 from the form. 19 During this conversation with the 20 defendant, was there anybody else present besides you 21 and the defendant? 22 No, ma'am. Α.

23

24

(WHEREUPON, People's Exhibit

Number 3 was marked for

1 identification.) 2 3 BY MS. COLEMAN: I'm going to show you what I have marked as 5 People's Exhibit Number 3 for identification. 6 MS. COLEMAN: May I approach? 7 THE COURT: Go right ahead. BY MS. COLEMAN: 8 9 Q. Officer, I'm going to show you People's 10 Number 3. Do you recognize that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. What is that? 13 Α. This is the photocopy of the polygraph 14 subject consent form of Mr. Jovanie Long. 15 Is there anything that you read to the 16 defendant on that form before he signs it? 17 A. Yes, ma'am. I read the entire form verbatim, including the Miranda warnings. 18 19 Ο. And what else does that form tell him 20 besides Miranda warnings? 21 Α. That he has -- that he is volunteering for the test, that I can give the information to the 22 23 proper people, a form of release, and that he has a 24 right to have a copy of the results of the polygraph

- 1 himself.
- Q. And after you advised him of that, did he
- 3 sign that form?
- A. Yes, ma'am, on two different spots.
- 5 Q. And after that, did you then begin asking
- 6 him questions?
- 7 A. I then went into a pretest interview, yes,
- 8 ma'am.
- 9 Q. What is a pretest interview?
- 10 A. Well, the polygraph is actually broken up
- into two different phases. The first phase is an
- interview where we get the subject accustomed to
- 13 being there talking to us. We want to make sure that
- 14 he knows what the issue at hand is, why he's taking a
- 15 polygraph test.
- We do a small background health check
- 17 to make sure that he's suitable to take the test. We
- develop questions that we're going to ask him on the
- 19 test. We review the questions on the test with him
- before we actually administer the polygraph
- 21 examination.
- The second phase is the actual
- 23 administration of the test.
- Q. And when you begin administering the

- 1 test -- what did you do here?
- 2 A. I started talking to him, interviewing him,
- 3 and explaining to him the process, talking to him
- 4 about the situation.
- 5 Q. Now, at any point in time did you ask him
- 6 specific questions about this event, about the event
- 7 that happened on May 13th of 2000?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What did you ask him?
- 10 A. I asked him if he did it.
- 11 Q. And what did the defendant tell you?
- 12 A. The defendant made a statement.
- Q. What did he tell you?
- 14 A. He told me that during the robbery, he did
- 15 shoot the victim.
- 16 Q. Now, after the defendant made that
- 17 statement to you -- first of all, when he made that
- 18 statement, was he in any way hooked up to any kind of
- 19 machine?
- 20 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. So the polygraph had not actually begun
- 22 yet?
- 23 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. What did you do after the defendant made

1 that statement to you? 2 I immediately opened up the polygraph 3 laboratory door. I summoned Detective Pietlak and Riordan into the polygraph laboratory. 5 Once they entered the room, I told 6 Mr. Jovanie Long, tell the detectives what you just 7 told me. At which point, he repeated what he had 8 just told me. 9 Q. Again, he made another admission? 10 A. Yes, ma'am. 11 Q. What did you do then? 12 A. I left the room. 13 Q. And was there ever a polygraph examination 14 given of the defendant that day? 15 A. No, ma'am. 16 Q. Why not? 17 A. He had made an admission. 18 MS. COLEMAN: I have no further questions, 19 Judge. 20 (WHEREUPON, Defendant's Exhibit 21 Number 1 was marked for 22 identification.) 23 CROSS EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. CONNIFF:

- 1 0. Is it Officer Bartik?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Let me show you what I have marked as
- 4 Defendant's Exhibit 1 for identification.
- 5 MR. CONNIFF: May I approach, Judge?
- 6 THE COURT: Sure. Do you want to show that
- 7 to counsel?
- MS. COLEMAN: I think I see it.
- 9 MR. CONNIFF: Sorry.
- MS. COLEMAN: That's okay. I see it.
- 11 BY MR. CONNIFF:
- 12 Q. Officer, let me ask you, are those notes
- that you, yourself, made?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And do you see at the top of those notes
- what appears to be a fax transmission date?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what is the date of that fax
- 19 transmission date?
- 20 A. February 16, 2003.
- 21 Q. So just a couple of days ago?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So these notes were made by you on or about
- August the 5th of the year 2000?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And they were just transmitted by fax
- 3 to the State's Attorney on February the 16th of
- 4 2003?
- MS. COLEMAN: Objection to the relevance,
- 6 Judge.
- 7 THE COURT: I'll allow it. I'm hard-
- 8 pressed to see the relevance.
- 9 BY MR. CONNIFF:
- 10 Q. When did you make these notes?
- 11 A. Immediately prior and after talking to
- 12 Mr. Long.
- Q. And in this particular note, Defendant's
- 14 Exhibit 1, this contains your report of the alleged
- 15 statement which Mr. Long made to you?
- A. A paraphrase, yes, sir.
- Q. And the first time you made known to the
- 18 State's Attorney that you had notes which referenced
- 19 that statement was when?
- MS. COLEMAN: Objection to the relevance.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 BY MR. CONNIFF:
- Q. What -- where were these notes kept from
- August the 5th, 2000, until you produced them?

- 1 MS. COLEMAN: Objection to the relevance.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 3 BY MR. CONNIFF:
- Q. Did anyone tell you to make this
- 5 notation of this alleged statement that Mr. Long made
- 6 to you?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. You have done this in other cases?
- 9 MS. COLEMAN: Objection to the relevance.
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 BY MR. CONNIFF:
- 12 Q. If you have made notes of statements which
- defendants make to you in other cases, what have you
- 14 been instructed to do with regard to the notes of
- 15 those statements?
- MS. COLEMAN: Objection, relevance.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. CONNIFF:
- 19 Q. Did you cause this note of the alleged
- 20 statement which is Defendant's Exhibit 1 to be
- transmitted to any supervisor or anyone above
- you or State's Attorney following your making this
- 23 note?
- MS. COLEMAN: Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 2 MR. CONNIFF: I have nothing further, 3 Judge. 4 MS. COLEMAN: I have nothing further, 5 Judge. 6 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 (Witness excused.) 9 MS. COLEMAN: Judge, at this time, the People rest in our case-in-chief. 10 11 MR. CONNIFF: Judge, we call Detective 12 Riordan. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 (Brief pause.) 15 THE COURT: Sir, you remain under oath to 16 tell the truth. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 18 WHEREUPON, 19 JOHN RIORDAN, 20 called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant, 21 having been first duly sworn, under oath was examined 22 and testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. CONNIFF: